

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOX: KET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,673	03.	/29/2004	Gang Han	Q80734 1155		
23373	7590	05/10/2005		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE	•	LLC A AVENUE, N.W.	JENKINS. DANIEL J			
SUITE 800	i r A VIAIU	A V LIVOL, IV. W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20037	1742			
				DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

li

		Applicat	IUII INU,	Applicant(s)				
		10/810,6	73	HAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
			Jenkins .	1742				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no e . I reply within the sta riod will apply and v atute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from olication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				•				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	8 January 200	<u>05</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is	non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
	closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte</i> Q	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)	· · · 	drawn from co	·					
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cortine oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b the drawing(s) rection is requi	be held in abeyance. See red if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have beents have been brionity documerau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
2) Notice 3) Information Pape J.S. Patent and T	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB, r No(s)/Mail Date rademark Office	/08)	6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
PTOL-326 (R	ev. 1-04) Offic	e Action Summa	ıry Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20050417				

Application/Control Number: 10/810,673 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's Response of 1/18/05. The Examiner finds that the amendment of 1/18/05 frees the claims of the prior rejection, the prior art rejection not providing for the container limitation. At this time, the Examiner makes a new rejection necessitated by Amendment which is accordingly made final.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito et al.

Saito et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Saito et al. discloses a method of forming a sintered body comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/810,673

Art Unit: 1742

providing a titanium powder (col. 3, line 59);

providing an additional alloying powder selected from a group comprising a Group V element to form an alloy powder mixture; and

sintering the alloy powder mixture to form a sintered body.

Saito et al. further discloses wherein the sintered body is formed by hipping at temperatures and pressures that overlap those as claimed by Applicant (col. 15, lines 16-30).

Saito discloses with sufficient specificity ranges that overlap and closely approximate the claimed process limitations of the pending claims which establishes a prima facie case of obviousness (See MPEP 2131.03).

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/810,673

Art Unit: 1742

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Page 4

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Jenkins whose telephone number is 571-272-

1242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1242. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel J. Jenkins Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1742

dj